
UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

☒ **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012

or

☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number: 1-16129

FLUOR CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

33-0927079

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

6700 Las Colinas Boulevard

Irving, Texas

(Address of principal executive offices)

75039

(Zip Code)

469-398-7000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☒

Accelerated filer ☐

Non-accelerated filer ☐

Smaller reporting company ☐

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

As of October 26, 2012, 166,509,480 shares of the registrant's common stock, \$0.01 par value, were outstanding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
Part I: Financial Information	
Item 1: Financial Statements	
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Earnings for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)	2
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)	3
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (Unaudited)	4
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)	5
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	6
Item 2: Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	20
Item 3: Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	29
Item 4: Controls and Procedures	29
Changes in Consolidated Backlog (Unaudited)	30
Part II: Other Information	
Item 1: Legal Proceedings	31
Item 1A: Risk Factors	31
Item 2: Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	31
Item 6: Exhibits	32
Signatures	35

[Table of Contents](#)

PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

FLUOR CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF EARNINGS

UNAUDITED

(in thousands, except per share amounts)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
TOTAL REVENUE	\$ 7,136,056	\$ 6,037,613	\$ 20,554,413	\$ 17,129,265
TOTAL COST OF REVENUE	6,829,781	5,775,527	19,653,774	16,290,102
OTHER (INCOME) AND EXPENSES				
Corporate general and administrative expense	40,884	37,258	109,932	102,189
Interest expense	6,890	2,027	20,381	8,134

Interest income	(6,001)	(8,136)	(23,157)	(24,367)
Total cost and expenses	<u>6,871,554</u>	<u>5,806,676</u>	<u>19,760,930</u>	<u>16,376,058</u>
EARNINGS BEFORE TAXES	264,502	230,937	793,483	753,207
INCOME TAX EXPENSE	<u>92,164</u>	<u>69,348</u>	<u>251,449</u>	<u>238,946</u>
NET EARNINGS	172,338	161,589	542,034	514,261
LESS: NET EARNINGS ATTRIBUTABLE TO NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS	<u>27,755</u>	<u>26,227</u>	<u>81,379</u>	<u>73,689</u>
NET EARNINGS ATTRIBUTABLE TO FLUOR CORPORATION	<u>\$ 144,583</u>	<u>\$ 135,362</u>	<u>\$ 460,655</u>	<u>\$ 440,572</u>
BASIC EARNINGS PER SHARE	<u>\$ 0.87</u>	<u>\$ 0.79</u>	<u>\$ 2.74</u>	<u>\$ 2.54</u>
DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE	<u>\$ 0.86</u>	<u>\$ 0.78</u>	<u>\$ 2.72</u>	<u>\$ 2.51</u>
SHARES USED TO CALCULATE EARNINGS PER SHARE				
BASIC	166,660	171,576	167,925	173,607
DILUTED	<u>167,968</u>	<u>173,178</u>	<u>169,271</u>	<u>175,819</u>
DIVIDENDS DECLARED PER SHARE	<u>\$ 0.16</u>	<u>\$ 0.125</u>	<u>\$ 0.48</u>	<u>\$ 0.375</u>

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

FLUOR CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

UNAUDITED

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
NET EARNINGS	\$ 172,338	\$ 161,589	\$ 542,034	\$ 514,261
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS), NET OF TAX:				
Foreign currency translation adjustment	24,168	(78,883)	27,416	(36,167)
Ownership share of equity method investees' other comprehensive gain (loss)	133	(5,774)	(1,356)	(5,071)
Pension plan adjustment	1,027	26,022	6,367	37,661
Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative contracts	412	(14,427)	2,001	(12,450)
Unrealized gain (loss) on debt securities	<u>393</u>	<u>(627)</u>	<u>285</u>	<u>(416)</u>
TOTAL OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS), NET OF TAX	<u>26,133</u>	<u>(73,689)</u>	<u>34,713</u>	<u>(16,443)</u>
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	<u>198,471</u>	<u>87,900</u>	<u>576,747</u>	<u>497,818</u>
LESS: COMPREHENSIVE INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS	<u>27,505</u>	<u>24,438</u>	<u>80,792</u>	<u>79,900</u>
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO FLUOR CORPORATION	<u>\$ 170,966</u>	<u>\$ 63,462</u>	<u>\$ 495,955</u>	<u>\$ 417,918</u>

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

FLUOR CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

UNAUDITED

(in thousands, except share amounts)	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents (\$460,192 and \$472,597 related to variable interest entities ("VIEs"))	\$ 2,151,026	\$ 2,161,411
Marketable securities, current (\$55,484 and \$0 related to VIEs)	378,331	96,438
Accounts and notes receivable, net (\$180,729 and \$167,238 related to VIEs)	1,215,693	1,235,935
Contract work in progress (\$216,735 and \$264,014 related to VIEs)	2,285,211	1,946,747
Deferred taxes	282,077	207,674
Other current assets	205,769	232,418
Total current assets	<u>6,518,107</u>	<u>5,880,623</u>
Marketable securities, noncurrent	310,468	503,550
Property, plant and equipment (net of accumulated depreciation of \$1,021,599 and \$947,223)	962,222	921,585
Investments and goodwill	278,243	225,246
Deferred taxes	97,066	167,387
Deferred compensation trusts	328,037	303,016
Other	275,297	268,869
TOTAL ASSETS	<u>\$ 8,769,440</u>	<u>\$ 8,270,276</u>
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Trade accounts payable (\$325,095 and \$239,522 related to VIEs)	\$ 2,023,426	\$ 1,734,686
Convertible senior notes and other notes payable	23,702	19,458
Advance billings on contracts (\$282,913 and \$469,644 related to VIEs)	876,001	1,107,559
Accrued salaries, wages and benefits (\$73,918 and \$39,581 related to VIEs)	665,948	668,107
Other accrued liabilities (\$7,243 and \$23,427 related to VIEs)	357,980	310,301
Total current liabilities	<u>3,947,057</u>	<u>3,840,111</u>
LONG-TERM DEBT DUE AFTER ONE YEAR	524,947	513,500
NONCURRENT LIABILITIES	526,093	456,759
CONTINGENCIES AND COMMITMENTS		
EQUITY		
Shareholders' equity		
Capital stock		
Preferred — authorized 20,000,000 shares (\$0.01 par value); none issued	—	—
Common — authorized 375,000,000 shares (\$0.01 par value); issued and outstanding — 166,508,134 and 168,979,199 shares in 2012 and 2011, respectively	1,665	1,690
Additional paid-in capital	—	2,574
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(163,992)	(199,292)
Retained earnings	3,842,313	3,590,553
Total shareholders' equity	<u>3,679,986</u>	<u>3,395,525</u>
Noncontrolling interests	91,357	64,381
Total equity	<u>3,771,343</u>	<u>3,459,906</u>
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	<u>\$ 8,769,440</u>	<u>\$ 8,270,276</u>

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

FLUOR CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

UNAUDITED

(in thousands)	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net earnings	\$ 542,034	\$ 514,261
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to cash (utilized) provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation of fixed assets	155,497	148,042
Amortization of intangibles	1,539	2,170
Restricted stock and stock option amortization	28,240	28,551
Deferred compensation trust	(25,019)	22,313
Deferred compensation obligation	28,813	(24,948)
Deferred taxes	(28,856)	(9,560)
Excess tax benefit from stock-based plans	(4,318)	(12,319)
Retirement plan accrual, net of contributions	7,761	(12,130)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities	(186,378)	26,569
Undistributed earnings of equity method investments	(14,755)	18,773
Other items	5,744	9,457
Cash provided by operating activities	<u>510,302</u>	<u>711,179</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Purchases of marketable securities	(813,440)	(558,009)
Proceeds from the sales and maturities of marketable securities	724,635	653,235
Capital expenditures	(188,876)	(237,404)
Proceeds from disposal of property, plant and equipment	65,623	43,619
Investments in partnerships and joint ventures	(12,028)	(7,562)
Proceeds from the sale of assets	—	11,016
Acquisitions	(19,337)	(15,300)
Other items	(7,697)	5,743
Cash utilized by investing activities	<u>(251,120)</u>	<u>(104,662)</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Repurchase of common stock	(164,187)	(599,891)
Dividends paid	(75,646)	(66,351)
Proceeds from issuance of Senior Notes	—	495,595
Debt issuance costs	—	(4,059)
Settlement of U.S. Treasury rate lock agreements	—	(16,778)
Repayment of convertible debt	(903)	(73,039)
Distributions paid to noncontrolling interests	(61,917)	(72,270)
Capital contribution by joint venture partners	3,553	22,589
Taxes paid on vested restricted stock	(11,696)	(18,690)
Stock options exercised	10,155	25,343
Excess tax benefit from stock-based plans	4,318	12,319
Other items	6,845	(4,236)
Cash utilized by financing activities	<u>(289,478)</u>	<u>(299,468)</u>
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	<u>19,911</u>	<u>(55,757)</u>
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(10,385)	251,292
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	<u>2,161,411</u>	<u>2,134,997</u>
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 2,151,026</u>	<u>\$ 2,386,289</u>

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

FLUOR CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

UNAUDITED

- (1) The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements do not include footnotes and certain financial information normally presented annually under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and, therefore, should be read in conjunction with the company's December 31, 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Accounting measurements at interim dates inherently involve greater reliance on estimates than at year-end. The results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 may not necessarily be indicative of results that can be expected for the full year.

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included herein are unaudited; however, they contain all adjustments of a normal recurring nature which, in the opinion of management, are necessary to present fairly its consolidated financial position as of September 30, 2012 and its consolidated results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods presented. All significant intercompany transactions of consolidated subsidiaries are eliminated. Certain amounts in 2011 have been reclassified to conform to the 2012 presentation. Management has evaluated all material events occurring subsequent to the date of the financial statements up to the date and time this quarterly report is filed on Form 10-Q.

- (2) New accounting pronouncements implemented by the company during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 or requiring implementation in future periods are discussed below or in the notes, where applicable.

In October 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2012-04, "Technical Corrections and Improvements." The amendments in ASU 2012-04 make technical corrections, clarifications and limited-scope improvements to various topics throughout the Accounting Standards Codification. ASU 2012-04 is effective upon issuance, except for amendments that are subject to transition guidance, which will be effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. Management does not expect the adoption of ASU 2012-04 to have a material impact on the company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In August 2012, the FASB issued ASU 2012-03, "Technical Amendments and Corrections to SEC Sections," which amends various SEC sections in the Accounting Standards Codification as a result of (1) the issuance of SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 114, (2) the issuance of SEC Release No. 33-9250 and (3) corrections related to ASU 2010-22, "Technical Corrections to SEC Paragraphs." ASU 2012-03 was effective upon issuance. The adoption of ASU 2012-03 did not have an impact on the company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In July 2012, the FASB issued ASU 2012-02, "Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment." ASU 2012-02 allows entities testing an indefinite-lived intangible asset for impairment the option of performing a qualitative assessment before calculating the fair value of the asset. If entities determine, on the basis of qualitative factors, that the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible asset is more likely than not greater than the carrying amount, a quantitative calculation would not be needed. ASU 2012-02 is effective for interim and annual impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. Management does not expect the adoption of ASU 2012-02 to have a material impact on the company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-11, "Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities," which requires an entity to disclose the nature of its rights of setoff and related arrangements associated with its financial instruments and derivative instruments. The objective of ASU 2011-11 is to make financial statements that are prepared under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") more comparable to those prepared under International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). The new disclosures will give financial statement users information about both gross and net exposures. ASU 2011-11 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013 and will be applied on a retrospective basis.

In the first quarter of 2012, the company adopted FASB ASU 2011-08, "Testing Goodwill for Impairment." ASU 2011-08 allows entities testing goodwill for impairment the option of performing a qualitative assessment before calculating the fair value of a reporting unit (i.e., the first step of the goodwill impairment test). If entities determine, on the basis of qualitative factors, that the fair value of the reporting unit is more likely than not greater than the carrying amount, a quantitative

[Table of Contents](#)

FLUOR CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

UNAUDITED

calculation would not be needed. The adoption of ASU 2011-08 did not have a material impact on the company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

- (3) In the first quarter of 2012, the company adopted FASB ASU 2011-05, "Presentation of Comprehensive Income," which amends certain guidance in Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 220, "Comprehensive Income." ASU 2011-05 revises the manner in which entities present comprehensive income in their financial statements. ASU 2011-05 requires entities to report components of comprehensive income in either (1) a continuous statement of comprehensive income or (2) two separate but consecutive statements. As a result of the adoption of ASU 2011-05, the company's financial statements now include a Condensed Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income.

The company also adopted FASB ASU 2011-12, “Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in ASU 2011-05” in the first quarter of 2012. ASU 2011-12 indefinitely deferred the provisions of ASU 2011-05 that required entities to present reclassification adjustments out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component in both the statement in which net income is presented and the statement in which other comprehensive income is presented.

The tax effects of the components of other comprehensive income for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2012			Three Months Ended September 30, 2011		
	Before-Tax Amount	Tax Expense	Net-of-Tax Amount	Before-Tax Amount	Tax (Expense) Benefit	Net-of-Tax Amount
Other comprehensive income (loss):						
Foreign currency translation adjustment	\$ 38,768	\$ (14,600)	\$ 24,168	\$ (125,682)	\$ 46,799	\$ (78,883)
Ownership share of equity method investees’ other comprehensive income (loss)	165	(32)	133	(9,199)	3,425	(5,774)
Pension plan adjustment	1,643	(616)	1,027	41,636	(15,614)	26,022
Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative contracts	693	(281)	412	(22,011)	7,584	(14,427)
Unrealized gain (loss) on debt securities	630	(237)	393	(1,003)	376	(627)
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	41,899	(15,766)	26,133	(116,259)	42,570	(73,689)
Less: Other comprehensive (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	(250)	—	(250)	(1,789)	—	(1,789)
Other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Fluor Corporation	\$ 42,149	\$ (15,766)	\$ 26,383	\$ (114,470)	\$ 42,570	\$ (71,900)

[Table of Contents](#)

FLUOR CORPORATION NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

UNAUDITED

The tax effects of the components of other comprehensive income for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

(in thousands)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012			Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011		
	Before-Tax Amount	Tax Expense	Net-of-Tax Amount	Before-Tax Amount	Tax (Expense) Benefit	Net-of-Tax Amount
Other comprehensive income (loss):						
Foreign currency translation adjustment	\$ 43,922	\$ (16,506)	\$ 27,416	\$ (61,968)	\$ 25,801	\$ (36,167)
Ownership share of equity method investees’ other comprehensive (loss)	(1,138)	(218)	(1,356)	(7,281)	2,210	(5,071)
Pension plan adjustment	10,187	(3,820)	6,367	60,258	(22,597)	37,661
Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative contracts	3,465	(1,464)	2,001	(19,344)	6,894	(12,450)
Unrealized gain (loss) on debt securities	456	(171)	285	(665)	249	(416)
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	56,892	(22,179)	34,713	(29,000)	12,557	(16,443)
Less: Other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	(587)	—	(587)	6,211	—	6,211
Other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Fluor Corporation	\$ 57,479	\$ (22,179)	\$ 35,300	\$ (35,211)	\$ 12,557	\$ (22,654)

- (4) The effective tax rate, based on the company’s operating results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, was 34.8 percent and 31.7 percent, respectively, compared to 30.0 percent and 31.7 percent for the corresponding periods of 2011. The effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2012 was unfavorably impacted by the payment of additional foreign taxes from the settlement of an audit and a reassessment of certain tax exposures. The same period in 2011 was favorably impacted by a worthless stock deduction. The effective tax rate was similar for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the corresponding period of 2011. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was favorably impacted by the recognition of a deferred tax benefit of \$16 million primarily attributable to foreign taxes previously paid on certain unremitted foreign earnings in South Africa; the same period in 2011 was favorably impacted by the worthless stock deduction mentioned above.

The company conducts business globally and, as a result, the company or one or more of its subsidiaries files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various state and foreign jurisdictions. In the normal course of business, the company is subject to examination by taxing authorities throughout the world, including such major jurisdictions as Australia, Canada, the Netherlands, South Africa, the United Kingdom and the United States. Although the company believes its reserves for its tax positions are reasonable, the final outcome of tax audits could be materially different, both favorably and unfavorably. With few exceptions, the company is no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local, or non-U.S. income tax

examinations for years before 2003.

- (5) Cash paid for interest was \$20.9 million and \$5.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Income tax payments, net of receipts, were \$243.2 million and \$133.9 million during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

[Table of Contents](#)

FLUOR CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

UNAUDITED

- (6) Diluted earnings per share ("EPS") reflects the assumed exercise or conversion of all dilutive securities using the treasury stock method.

The calculations of the basic and diluted EPS for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 are presented below:

(in thousands, except per share amounts)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net earnings attributable to Fluor Corporation	\$ 144,583	\$ 135,362	\$ 460,655	\$ 440,572
Basic EPS:				
Weighted average common shares outstanding	166,660	171,576	167,925	173,607
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.87	\$ 0.79	\$ 2.74	\$ 2.54
Diluted EPS:				
Weighted average common shares outstanding	166,660	171,576	167,925	173,607
Diluted effect:				
Employee stock options and restricted stock units and shares	964	1,252	1,004	1,425
Conversion equivalent of dilutive convertible debt	344	350	342	787
Weighted average diluted shares outstanding	167,968	173,178	169,271	175,819
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.86	\$ 0.78	\$ 2.72	\$ 2.51
Anti-dilutive securities not included above	1,653	1,000	1,529	770

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the company repurchased and cancelled 600,900 and 3,223,949 shares of its common stock, respectively, under its stock repurchase program for \$31 million and \$164 million, respectively. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, the company repurchased and cancelled 4,186,200 and 9,300,000 shares of its common stock, respectively, under its stock repurchase program for \$241 million and \$600 million, respectively.

- (7) In the first quarter of 2012, the company adopted ASU 2011-04, "Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS," which amended and expanded the disclosure requirements of ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures."

The fair value hierarchy established by ASC 820 prioritizes the use of inputs used in valuation techniques into the following three levels:

- Level 1 — quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities
- Level 2 — inputs other than quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities that are observable, either directly or indirectly
- Level 3 — unobservable inputs

[Table of Contents](#)

FLUOR CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

UNAUDITED

The following table presents, for each of the fair value hierarchy levels required under ASC 820-10, the company's assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

(in thousands)	September 30, 2012 Fair Value Hierarchy				December 31, 2011 Fair Value Hierarchy			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets⁽¹⁾:								
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,562	\$ 1,562 ⁽²⁾	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 24,364	\$ 24,364 ⁽²⁾	\$ —	\$ —
Marketable securities, current	122,781	—	122,781 ⁽³⁾	—	72,845	—	72,845 ⁽³⁾	—
Deferred compensation trusts	80,626	80,626 ⁽⁴⁾	—	—	76,844	76,844 ⁽⁴⁾	—	—
Marketable securities, noncurrent	310,468	—	310,468 ⁽⁵⁾	—	503,550	—	503,550 ⁽⁵⁾	—
Derivative assets⁽⁶⁾								
Commodity swap forward contracts	415	—	415	—	2,535	—	2,535	—
Foreign currency contracts	1,887	—	1,887	—	3,105	—	3,105	—
Liabilities⁽¹⁾:								
Derivative liabilities⁽⁶⁾								
Commodity swap forward contracts	\$ 27	\$ —	\$ 27	\$ —	\$ 53	\$ —	\$ 53	\$ —
Foreign currency contracts	20,962	—	20,962	—	4,612	—	4,612	—

- (1) The company measures and reports assets and liabilities at fair value utilizing pricing information received from third parties. The company performs procedures to verify the reasonableness of pricing information received for significant assets and liabilities classified as Level 2.
- (2) Consists of registered money market funds valued at fair value. These investments represent the net asset value of the shares of such funds as of the close of business at the end of the period.
- (3) Consists of investments in U.S. agency securities, U.S. Treasury securities, corporate debt securities and other debt securities which are valued at the last reported sale price on the last business day at the end of the period. Securities not traded on the last business day are valued at the last reported bid price.
- (4) Consists of registered money market funds and an equity index fund valued at fair value. These investments, which are trading securities, represent the net asset value of the shares of such funds as of the close of business at the end of the period.
- (5) Consists of investments in U.S. agency securities, U.S. Treasury securities, corporate debt securities and other debt securities with maturities ranging from one to five years which are valued at the last reported sale price on the last business day at the end of the period. Securities not traded on the last business day are valued at the last reported bid price.
- (6) See Note 8 for the classification of commodity swap contracts and foreign currency forward contracts on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. Commodity swap contracts and foreign currency forward contracts are estimated using standard pricing models with market-based inputs, which take into account the present value of estimated future cash flows.

All of the company's financial instruments carried at fair value are included in the table above. All of the above financial instruments are available-for-sale securities except for those held in the deferred compensation trusts (which are trading securities) and derivative assets and liabilities. The company has determined that there was no other-than-temporary impairment of available-for-sale securities with unrealized losses, and the company expects to recover the entire cost basis of the securities. The available-for-sale securities are made up of the following security types as of September 30, 2012: money market funds of \$2 million, U.S. agency securities of \$166 million, U.S. Treasury securities of \$70 million, corporate debt securities of \$188 million and other securities of \$9 million. As of December 31, 2011, available-for-sale securities consisted of money market funds of \$24 million, U.S. agency securities of \$238 million, U.S. Treasury securities of \$99 million, corporate debt securities of \$235 million and other securities of \$5 million. The amortized cost of these available-for-sale securities is not materially different than the fair value. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, proceeds from the sales

FLUOR CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

UNAUDITED

and maturities of available-for-sale securities were \$85 million and \$434 million, respectively, compared to \$154 million and \$428 million for the corresponding periods of 2011.

The carrying values and estimated fair values of the company's financial instruments that are not required to be measured at fair value in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet are as follows:

(in thousands)	Fair Value Hierarchy	September 30, 2012		December 31, 2011		
		Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value	
Assets:						
Cash ⁽¹⁾	Level 1	\$ 1,424,806	\$ 1,424,806	\$ 1,225,480	\$ 1,225,480	
Cash equivalents ⁽²⁾	Level 2	724,658	724,658	911,567	911,567	
Marketable securities, current ⁽³⁾	Level 2	255,550	255,550	23,593	23,593	
Notes receivable, including noncurrent portion ⁽⁴⁾	Level 3	32,710	32,710	41,957	41,957	
Liabilities:						
3.375% Senior Notes ⁽⁵⁾	Level 2	\$ 496,054	\$ 529,101	\$ 495,723	\$ 500,254	
1.5% Convertible Senior Notes ⁽⁵⁾	Level 2	18,555	35,672	19,458	35,647	
5.625% Municipal Bonds ⁽⁵⁾	Level 2	17,790	17,924	17,777	17,901	
Notes payable, including noncurrent portion ⁽⁶⁾	Level 3	16,250	16,250	—	—	

(1) Cash consists of bank deposits. Carrying amounts approximate fair value.

(2) Cash equivalents consist of held-to-maturity time deposits with maturities of three months or less at the date of purchase. The carrying amounts of these time deposits approximate fair value because of the short-term maturity of these instruments.

(3) Marketable securities, current consist of held-to-maturity time deposits with original maturities greater than three months that will mature within one year. The carrying amounts of these time deposits approximate fair value because of the short-term maturity of these instruments.

(4) Notes receivable are carried at net realizable value which approximates fair value. Factors considered by the company in determining the fair value include current interest rates, the term of the note, the credit worthiness of the borrower and any collateral pledged as security. Notes receivable are periodically assessed for impairment.

(5) The fair value of the 3.375% Senior Notes, 1.5% Convertible Senior Notes and 5.625% Municipal Bonds are estimated based on quoted market prices for similar issues.

(6) Notes payable consist primarily of equipment loans with banks at various interest rates with maturities ranging from less than one year to four years. The carrying value of notes payable approximates fair value. Factors considered by the company in determining the fair value include the company's current credit rating, current interest rates, the term of the note and any collateral pledged as security.

(8) The company limits exposure to foreign currency fluctuations in most of its engineering and construction contracts through provisions that require client payments in currencies corresponding to the currencies in which cost is incurred. Certain financial exposure, which includes currency and commodity price risk associated with engineering and construction contracts, currency risk associated with intercompany transactions, deposits denominated in non-functional currencies, and risk associated with interest rate volatility may subject the company to earnings volatility. In cases where financial exposure is identified, the company generally mitigates the risk by utilizing derivative instruments as hedging instruments that are designated as either fair value or cash flow hedges in accordance with ASC 815, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." The company formally documents its hedge relationships at inception, including identification of the hedging instruments and the hedged items, as well as its risk management objectives and strategies for undertaking the hedge transaction. The company also formally assesses, both at

FLUOR CORPORATION NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

UNAUDITED

inception and at least quarterly thereafter, whether the hedging instruments are highly effective in offsetting changes in the fair value of the hedged items. The fair values of all hedging instruments are recognized as assets or liabilities at the balance sheet date. For fair value hedges, the effective portion of the change in the fair value of the hedging instrument is offset against the change in the fair value of the underlying asset or liability through earnings. For cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the hedging instruments' gains or losses due to changes in fair value are recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) ("OCI") and are reclassified into earnings when the hedged items settle. Any ineffective portion of a hedging instrument's change in fair value is immediately recognized in earnings. The company does not enter into hedging instruments or engage in hedging activities for speculative purposes.

As of September 30, 2012, the company had total gross notional amounts of \$701 million of foreign exchange forward contracts and \$2 million of commodity swap contracts outstanding relating to engineering and construction contract obligations and intercompany transactions. The foreign exchange forward contracts are of varying duration, none of which extend beyond March 2014. The commodity swap contracts are of varying duration, none of which extend beyond August 2014. The impact to earnings due to hedge ineffectiveness was immaterial for the three and nine months ended

September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The fair values of the hedging instruments under ASC 815 as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are as follows:

(in thousands)	Balance Sheet Location	Asset Derivatives		Balance Sheet Location	Liability Derivatives	
		September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011		September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Commodity swaps	Other current assets	\$ 415	\$ 2,451	Other accrued liabilities	\$ 10	\$ —
Foreign currency forwards	Other current assets	1,859	3,105	Other accrued liabilities	20,962	4,612
Commodity swaps	Other assets	—	84	Noncurrent liabilities	17	53
Foreign currency forwards	Other assets	28	—	Noncurrent liabilities	—	—
Total		\$ 2,302	\$ 5,640		\$ 20,989	\$ 4,665

The pre-tax amounts of gain (loss) recognized in earnings associated with the hedging instruments designated as fair value hedges for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

Fair Value Hedges (in thousands)	Location of Gain (Loss)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
		2012	2011	2012	2011
Foreign currency forwards	Corporate general and administrative expense	\$ (12,075)	\$ 10,836	\$ (19,773)	\$ 15,147

The pre-tax amounts of gain (loss) recognized in earnings on the hedging instruments for the fair value hedges noted in the table above offset the amounts of gain (loss) recognized in earnings on the hedged items in the same locations on the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Earnings.

The after-tax amounts of gain (loss) recognized in OCI associated with the hedging instruments designated as cash flow hedges are as follows:

Cash Flow Hedges (in thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Commodity swaps	\$ 619	\$ (417)	\$ 1,011	\$ 1,766
Foreign currency forwards	884	(1,173)	2,910	(691)
U.S. Treasury rate lock agreements	—	(10,486)	—	(10,486)
Total	\$ 1,503	\$ (12,076)	\$ 3,921	\$ (9,411)

FLUOR CORPORATION NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

UNAUDITED

The after-tax amounts of gain (loss) reclassified from accumulated OCI into earnings associated with the hedging instruments designated as cash flow hedges are as follows:

Cash Flow Hedges (in thousands)	Location of Gain (Loss)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
		2012	2011	2012	2011
Commodity swaps	Total cost of revenue	\$ 710	\$ 1,570	\$ 1,654	\$ 3,122
Foreign currency forwards	Total cost of revenue	557	(81)	558	(663)
U.S. Treasury rate lock agreements	Interest expense	(262)	(43)	(786)	(43)
Total		\$ 1,005	\$ 1,446	\$ 1,426	\$ 2,416

- (9) Net periodic pension expense for the U.S. and non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans includes the following components:

(in thousands)	U.S. Pension Plan				Non-U.S. Pension Plans			
	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,		Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011
Service cost	\$ 1,489	\$ 8,960	\$ 4,468	\$ 26,880	\$ 1,921	\$ 1,542	\$ 5,815	\$ 5,992
Interest cost	8,323	9,191	24,970	27,575	8,070	8,537	24,518	25,676
Expected return on assets	(8,830)	(10,155)	(26,492)	(30,467)	(10,369)	(10,760)	(31,446)	(32,106)

Amortization of prior service cost	(28)	(47)	(85)	(140)	—	—	—	—
Recognized net actuarial loss	3,409	3,497	10,226	10,491	786	1,367	2,352	4,464
Gain on curtailment	—	(618)	—	(618)	—	—	—	—
Net periodic pension expense	<u>\$ 4,363</u>	<u>\$ 10,828</u>	<u>\$ 13,087</u>	<u>\$ 33,721</u>	<u>\$ 408</u>	<u>\$ 686</u>	<u>\$ 1,239</u>	<u>\$ 4,026</u>

The company currently expects to fund approximately \$30 million to \$60 million into its defined benefit pension plans during 2012, which is expected to be in excess of the minimum funding required. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, contributions of approximately \$7 million were made by the company.

The preceding information does not include amounts related to benefit plans applicable to employees associated with certain contracts with the U.S. Department of Energy because the company is not responsible for the current or future funded status of these plans.

In the first quarter of 2012, the company adopted FASB ASU 2011-09, “Disclosures about an Employer’s Participation in a Multiemployer Plan,” which amends ASC 715-80 by increasing the quantitative and qualitative disclosures an employer is required to provide about its participation in significant multiemployer plans that offer pension or other postretirement benefits. The objective of ASU 2011-09 is to enhance the transparency of disclosures about the significant multiemployer plans in which an employer participates, the level of the employer’s participation in those plans, the financial health of the plans and the nature of the employer’s commitments to the plans. The company was not required to make additional disclosures as a result of the adoption of ASU 2011-09.

- (10) In September 2011, the company issued \$500 million of 3.375% Senior Notes (the “2011 Notes”) due September 15, 2021 and received proceeds of \$492 million, net of underwriting discounts and debt issuance costs. Interest on the 2011 Notes is payable semi-annually on March 15 and September 15 of each year, and began on March 15, 2012. The company may, at any time, redeem the 2011 Notes at a redemption price equal to 100 percent of the principal amount, plus a “make whole” premium described in the indenture. Additionally, if a change of control triggering event occurs, as defined by the terms of the indenture, the company will be required to offer to purchase the 2011 Notes at a purchase price equal to 101 percent of their principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the date of purchase. The company is generally not limited under the indenture governing the 2011 Notes in its ability to incur additional indebtedness provided the company is in compliance with certain restrictive covenants, including restrictions on liens and restrictions on sale and leaseback transactions.

[Table of Contents](#)

FLUOR CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

UNAUDITED

In February 2004, the company issued \$330 million of 1.5% Convertible Senior Notes (the “2004 Notes”) due February 15, 2024 and received proceeds of \$323 million, net of underwriting discounts. In December 2004, the company irrevocably elected to pay the principal amount of the 2004 Notes in cash. The 2004 Notes are convertible if a specified trading price of the company’s common stock (the “trigger price”) is achieved and maintained for a specified period. The trigger price condition was satisfied during the fourth quarter of 2011 and third quarter of 2012 and the 2004 Notes were therefore classified as short-term debt. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, holders converted \$0.9 million of the 2004 Notes in exchange for the principal balance owed in cash plus 17,352 shares of the company’s common stock. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, holders converted \$73 million of the 2004 Notes in exchange for the principal balance owed in cash plus 1,601,081 shares of the company’s common stock.

The following table presents information related to the liability and equity components of the 2004 Notes:

(in thousands)	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Carrying value of the equity component	\$ 19,518	\$ 19,514
Principal amount and carrying value of the liability component	18,555	19,458

The 2004 Notes are convertible into shares of the company’s common stock (par value \$0.01 per share) at a conversion rate of 36.2815 shares per each \$1,000 principal amount of the 2004 Notes. Interest expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 included original coupon interest of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively. Interest expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 included original coupon interest of \$0.1 million and \$0.4 million, respectively. The if-converted value of \$38 million was in excess of the principal value as of September 30, 2012.

In the third quarter of 2012, the company assumed notes payable totaling \$16 million, of which \$5 million was classified as current, in connection with the acquisition of a small equipment company. The notes payable consist primarily of equipment loans with banks at various interest rates with maturities ranging from less than one year to four years.

As of September 30, 2012, the company was in compliance with all of the financial covenants related to its debt agreements.

- (11) The company’s executive and director stock-based compensation plans are described, and informational disclosures provided, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. In the first nine months of 2012 and 2011,

restricted stock units and restricted shares totaling 450,668 and 291,912, respectively, were granted to executives and directors at weighted-average per share prices of \$61.70 and \$70.59, respectively. For the company's executives, the restricted units and shares granted in 2012 and 2011 vest ratably over three years. For the company's directors, other than the initial grant that certain directors received upon joining the Board of Directors which vests ratably over a five year period, the restricted units and shares granted in 2012 and 2011 vest or vested on the first anniversary of the grant. During the first nine months of 2012 and 2011, options for the purchase of 688,380 shares at a weighted-average exercise price of \$62.18 per share and 548,391 shares at a weighted-average exercise price of \$70.76 per share, respectively, were awarded to executives. The options granted in 2012 and 2011 vest ratably over three years. The options expire ten years after the grant date.

- (12) The company applies the provisions of ASC 810-10-45, which establishes accounting and reporting standards for ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent, the amount of consolidated net earnings attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest, changes in a parent's ownership interest and the valuation of retained noncontrolling equity investments when a subsidiary is deconsolidated.

As required by ASC 810-10-45, the company has separately disclosed on the face of the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Earnings for all periods presented the amount of net earnings attributable to the company and the amount of net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests were \$28.0 million and \$82.3 million, respectively, and the related tax effect was \$0.2 million and \$0.9 million, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests were \$26.2 million and \$74.5 million, respectively, and the related tax effect was less than \$0.1 million and \$0.8 million, respectively. Distributions paid to noncontrolling interests were \$61.9 million and \$72.3 million for the nine

[Table of Contents](#)

FLUOR CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

UNAUDITED

months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Capital contributions by noncontrolling interests were \$3.6 million and \$22.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

- (13) The company and certain of its subsidiaries are involved in various litigation matters. Additionally, the company and certain of its subsidiaries are contingently liable for commitments and performance guarantees arising in the ordinary course of business. The company and certain of its clients have made claims arising from the performance under its contracts. The company recognizes revenue, but not profit, for certain significant claims when it is determined that recovery of incurred costs is probable and the amounts can be reliably estimated. Under ASC 605-35-25, these requirements are satisfied when the contract or other evidence provides a legal basis for the claim, additional costs were caused by circumstances that were unforeseen at the contract date and not the result of deficiencies in the company's performance, claim-related costs are identifiable and considered reasonable in view of the work performed, and evidence supporting the claim is objective and verifiable. Recognized claims against clients amounted to \$298 million for both September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, and are primarily included in contract work in progress in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. The company periodically evaluates its position and the amounts recognized in revenue with respect to all its claims. Amounts ultimately realized from claims could differ materially from the balances included in the financial statements. The company does not expect that the ultimate resolution of these matters will have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position or results of operations.

As of September 30, 2012, several matters were in the litigation and dispute resolution process. The following discussion provides a background and current status of these matters:

Greater Gabbard Offshore Wind Farm Project

The company is involved in a dispute in connection with the Greater Gabbard Project, a \$1.8 billion lump-sum project to provide engineering, procurement and construction services for the client's offshore wind farm project in the United Kingdom. The dispute relates to the company's claim for additional compensation for schedule and cost impacts arising from delays in the fabrication of monopiles and transition pieces, along with certain disruption and productivity issues associated with construction activities and weather-related delays. The company believes these schedule and cost impacts are attributable to the client and other third parties.

As of September 30, 2012, the company had recorded \$278 million of claim revenue related to this issue for costs incurred to date. The company believes the ultimate recovery of incurred costs related to the claim is probable under ASC 605-35-25. The company will continue to periodically evaluate its position and the amount recognized in revenue with respect to this claim. The project is substantially complete. As of September 30, 2012, the client had withheld the contractual maximum for liquidated damages related to the dispute of approximately \$150 million. The company is seeking to recover in arbitration all damages resulting from the client's breaches of the contract for the project, including the claim amount and a significant portion of the liquidated damages. Hearings in the arbitration on the company's claims have been completed, and the parties are awaiting the decision of the arbitrators. The client has filed a counterclaim against the company seeking to recover costs associated with alleged defects. The counterclaim is currently scheduled for hearing in 2013. To the extent the company is not successful in recovering its damages or the client's counterclaim is successful, there could be a substantial charge to earnings.

St. Joe Minerals Matters

Since 1995, the company has been named as a defendant in a number of lawsuits alleging injuries resulting from the lead business of St. Joe Minerals

Corporation (“St. Joe”) and The Doe Run Company (“Doe Run”) in Herculaneum, Missouri. The company was named as a defendant in these lawsuits as a result of its ownership or other interests in St. Joe and Doe Run in the period between 1981 and 1994. In 1994, the company sold its interests in St. Joe and Doe Run, along with all liabilities associated with the lead business, pursuant to a sale agreement in which the buyer agreed to indemnify the company for those liabilities. Until December 2010, substantially all the lawsuits were settled and paid by the buyer; and in all cases the company was fully released.

In December 2010, the buyer settled with certain plaintiffs without obtaining a release for the benefit of the company, leaving the company to defend its case with these plaintiffs in the City of St. Louis Circuit Court. In late July 2011, the jury reached an unexpected verdict in this case, ruling in favor of 16 of the plaintiffs and against the company and certain former subsidiaries for

[Table of Contents](#)

**FLUOR CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)**

UNAUDITED

\$38.5 million in compensatory and economic damages and \$320 million in punitive damages. In August 2011, the court entered judgments based on the verdict.

In December 2011, the company appealed the judgments of the court. The company strongly believes that the judgments are not supported by the facts or the law and that it is probable that such judgments will be overturned. Therefore, based upon the present status of this matter, the company does not believe it is probable that a loss will be incurred. Accordingly, the company has not recorded a charge as a result of the judgments. The company has also taken steps to enforce its rights to the indemnification described above.

The company, the buyer and other entities are defendants in 22 additional lawsuits relating to the lead business of St. Joe and Doe Run. The company believes it has strong defenses to these lawsuits and is vigorously defending its position. In addition, the company has filed claims for indemnification under the sale agreement for other matters raised in these lawsuits. While we believe we will be ultimately successful in these various matters, if we were unsuccessful in our appeal of the ruling referenced above or in any of the other lawsuits, or in the prosecution of and collection on our indemnity claims, we could recognize a substantial charge to our earnings.

Embassy Projects

The company constructed 11 embassy projects for the U.S. Department of State under fixed-price contracts. Some of these projects were adversely impacted by higher costs due to schedule extensions, scope changes causing material deviations from the Standard Embassy Design, increased costs to meet client requirements for additional security-cleared labor, site conditions at certain locations, subcontractor and teaming partner difficulties and the availability and productivity of construction labor. All embassy projects were completed prior to 2011.

The company had previously recognized claim revenue of \$33 million for outstanding claims on two embassy projects. During the first quarter of 2012, the company received an adverse judgment from the Board of Contract Appeals associated with a claim on one embassy project and, as a result, recorded a charge of \$13 million. The company believes that the decision was incorrect and has filed an appeal with the Federal Circuit. Total claims-related costs incurred to date for the last remaining claim, along with requests for equitable adjustment, exceed the amount recorded in claim revenue. All claims have been certified in accordance with federal contracting requirements. A hearing on the final embassy claim was held during the second quarter of 2012 and final written submissions are expected to be completed in the fourth quarter of 2012.

Conex International v. Fluor Enterprises, Inc.

In November 2006, a Jefferson County, Texas, jury reached an unexpected verdict in the case of Conex International (“Conex”) v. Fluor Enterprises Inc. (“FEI”), ruling in favor of Conex and awarding \$99 million in damages related to a 2001 construction project.

In 2001, Atofina (now part of Total Petrochemicals Inc.) hired Conex International to be the mechanical contractor on a project at Atofina’s refinery in Port Arthur, Texas. FEI was also hired to provide certain engineering advice to Atofina on the project. There was no contract between Conex and FEI. Later in 2001 after the project was complete, Conex and Atofina negotiated a final settlement for extra work on the project. Conex sued FEI in September 2003, alleging damages for interference and misrepresentation and demanding that FEI should pay Conex the balance of the extra work charges that Atofina did not pay in the settlement. Conex also asserted that FEI interfered with Conex’s contract and business relationship with Atofina. The jury verdict awarded damages for the extra work and the alleged interference.

The company appealed the decision and the judgment against the company was reversed in its entirety in December 2008. Both parties appealed the decision to the Texas Supreme Court, and the court denied both petitions. The company requested rehearing on two issues to the Texas Supreme Court, and that request was denied. The Texas Supreme Court remanded the matter back to the trial court for a new trial. The matter was stayed, pending resolution of certain technical issues associated with the 2011 bankruptcy filing by the plaintiff’s parent. These issues have been resolved. The matter will be remanded to the court in Jefferson County, Texas. Based upon the present status of this matter, the company does not believe that there is a reasonable possibility that a loss will be incurred.

FLUOR CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

UNAUDITED

- (14) In the ordinary course of business, the company enters into various agreements providing performance assurances and guarantees to clients on behalf of certain unconsolidated and consolidated partnerships, joint ventures and other jointly executed contracts. These agreements are entered into primarily to support the project execution commitments of these entities. The performance guarantees have various expiration dates ranging from mechanical completion of the facilities being constructed to a period extending beyond contract completion in certain circumstances. The maximum potential payment amount of an outstanding performance guarantee is the remaining cost of work to be performed by or on behalf of third parties under engineering and construction contracts. Amounts that may be required to be paid in excess of estimated cost to complete contracts in progress are not estimable. For cost reimbursable contracts, amounts that may become payable pursuant to guarantee provisions are normally recoverable from the client for work performed under the contract. For lump-sum or fixed-price contracts, the performance guarantee amount is the cost to complete the contracted work, less amounts remaining to be billed to the client under the contract. Remaining billable amounts could be greater or less than the cost to complete. In those cases where costs exceed the remaining amounts payable under the contract, the company may have recourse to third parties, such as owners, co-venturers, subcontractors or vendors for claims. Performance guarantees outstanding as of September 30, 2012 were estimated to be \$4.3 billion. The company assessed its performance guarantee obligation as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 in accordance with ASC 460, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others" and the carrying value of the liability was not material.

Financial guarantees, made in the ordinary course of business in certain limited circumstances, are entered into with financial institutions and other credit grantors and generally obligate the company to make payment in the event of a default by the borrower. These arrangements generally require the borrower to pledge collateral to support the fulfillment of the borrower's obligation.

- (15) In the normal course of business, the company forms partnerships or joint ventures primarily for the execution of single contracts or projects. The majority of these partnerships or joint ventures are characterized by a 50 percent or less, noncontrolling ownership or participation interest, with decision making and distribution of expected gains and losses typically being proportionate to the ownership or participation interest. Many of the partnership and joint venture agreements provide for capital calls to fund operations, as necessary. Such funding is infrequent and is not anticipated to be material. The company accounts for its partnerships and joint ventures in accordance with ASC 810.

In accordance with ASC 810, the company assesses its partnerships and joint ventures at inception to determine if any meet the qualifications of a VIE. The company considers a partnership or joint venture a VIE if either (a) the total equity investment is not sufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support, (b) characteristics of a controlling financial interest are missing (either the ability to make decisions through voting or other rights, the obligation to absorb the expected losses of the entity or the right to receive the expected residual returns of the entity), or (c) the voting rights of the equity holders are not proportional to their obligations to absorb the expected losses of the entity and/or their rights to receive the expected residual returns of the entity, and substantially all of the entity's activities either involve or are conducted on behalf of an investor that has disproportionately few voting rights. Upon the occurrence of certain events outlined in ASC 810, the company reassesses its initial determination of whether the partnership or joint venture is a VIE. The majority of the company's partnerships and joint ventures qualify as VIEs because the total equity investment is typically nominal and not sufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support.

The company also performs a qualitative assessment of each VIE to determine if the company is its primary beneficiary, as required by ASC 810. The company concludes that it is the primary beneficiary and consolidates the VIE if the company has both (1) the power to direct the economically significant activities of the entity and (2) the obligation to absorb losses of, or the right to receive benefits from, the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE. The company considers the contractual agreements that define the ownership structure, distribution of profits and losses, risks, responsibilities, indebtedness, voting rights and board representation of the respective parties in determining if the company is the primary beneficiary. The company also considers all parties that have direct or implicit variable interests when determining whether it is the primary beneficiary. As required by ASC 810, management's assessment of whether the company is the primary beneficiary of a VIE is continuously performed.

In most cases, when the company is not the primary beneficiary and not required to consolidate the VIE, the proportionate consolidation method of accounting is used for joint ventures and partnerships in the construction industry, whereby the

FLUOR CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

UNAUDITED

company recognizes its proportionate share of revenue, cost and segment profit in its Condensed Consolidated Statement of Earnings and uses the one-line equity method of accounting in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as allowed under ASC 810-10-45-14. The equity and cost methods of

accounting for the investments are also used, depending on the company's respective ownership interest, amount of influence over the VIE and the nature of services provided by the VIE. The aggregate investment carrying value of the unconsolidated VIEs was \$71 million and \$50 million as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, and was classified under "Investments and goodwill" in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. Some of the company's VIEs have debt; however, such debt is typically non-recourse in nature. The company's maximum exposure to loss as a result of its investments in unconsolidated VIEs is typically limited to the aggregate of the carrying value of the investment and future funding commitments. Future funding commitments as of September 30, 2012 for the unconsolidated VIEs were \$55 million.

In some cases, the company is required to consolidate certain VIEs. As of September 30, 2012, the carrying values of the assets and liabilities associated with the operations of the consolidated VIEs were \$1.1 billion and \$691 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2011, the carrying values of the assets and liabilities associated with the operations of the consolidated VIEs were \$1.1 billion and \$774 million, respectively. The assets of a VIE are restricted for use only for the particular VIE and are not available for general operations of the company.

None of the VIEs are individually material to the company's results of operations, financial position or cash flows except for the Fluor SKM joint venture, a consolidated joint venture formed for the execution of an iron ore joint venture project in Australia, which is material to the company's revenue. The company's results of operations included revenue related to the Fluor SKM joint venture of \$1.0 billion and \$2.5 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, and \$407 million and \$1.3 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively.

(16) Operating information by segment is as follows:

External Revenue (in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Oil & Gas	\$ 2,551.6	\$ 2,217.9	\$ 6,887.4	\$ 5,852.1
Industrial & Infrastructure	3,201.6	2,404.0	9,352.2	6,992.8
Government	790.1	882.4	2,511.5	2,548.9
Global Services	422.9	389.9	1,252.8	1,174.5
Power	169.9	143.4	550.5	561.0
Total external revenue	\$ 7,136.1	\$ 6,037.6	\$ 20,554.4	\$ 17,129.3

Segment Profit (Loss) (in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Oil & Gas	\$ 87.2	\$ 73.7	\$ 244.7	\$ 204.2
Industrial & Infrastructure	132.3	67.5	356.2	268.5
Government	22.9	43.0	98.1	108.8
Global Services	41.9	39.1	133.8	111.4
Power	(6.0)	12.6	(14.5)	71.8
Total segment profit	\$ 278.3	\$ 235.9	\$ 818.3	\$ 764.7

FLUOR CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

UNAUDITED

A reconciliation of the segment information to consolidated amounts is as follows:

Reconciliation of Total Segment Profit to Earnings Before Taxes (in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Total segment profit	\$ 278.3	\$ 235.9	\$ 818.3	\$ 764.7
Corporate general and administrative expense	(40.9)	(37.3)	(109.9)	(102.2)
Interest income, net	(0.9)	6.1	2.8	16.2
Earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	28.0	26.2	82.3	74.5
Earnings before taxes	\$ 264.5	\$ 230.9	\$ 793.5	\$ 753.2

Total assets by segment are as follows:

Total assets (in millions)	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Oil & Gas	\$ 1,552.7	\$ 1,245.0
Industrial & Infrastructure	1,084.2	943.6
Government	814.4	799.6

Global Services	939.7	936.6
Power	117.7	191.1

The increase in total assets for the Oil & Gas and Industrial & Infrastructure segments was due to an increase in project working capital for project execution activities. The change in total assets for the Power segment was due to a decrease in project working capital.

(17) Subsequent Event

In October 2012, the company sold its unconsolidated interest in a telecommunications company located in the United Kingdom and, as a result, will recognize a pre-tax gain of approximately \$40 million in the fourth quarter of 2012.

[Table of Contents](#)

FLUOR CORPORATION

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and notes and the company's December 31, 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K. For purposes of reviewing this document, "segment profit" is calculated as revenue less cost of revenue and earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests excluding: corporate general and administrative expense; interest expense; interest income; domestic and foreign income taxes; and other non-operating income and expense items.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements made herein, including statements regarding the company's projected revenue and earnings levels, cash flow and liquidity, new awards and backlog levels and the implementation of strategic initiatives and organizational changes are forward-looking in nature. We wish to caution readers that forward-looking statements, including disclosures which use words such as the company "believes," "anticipates," "expects," "estimates" and similar statements are subject to various risks and uncertainties which could cause actual results of operations to differ materially from expectations. Factors potentially contributing to such differences include, among others:

- Difficulties or delays incurred in the execution of contracts, or failure to accurately estimate the resources and time necessary for our contracts, resulting in cost overruns or liabilities, including those caused by the performance of our clients, subcontractors, suppliers and joint venture or teaming partners;
- Intense competition in the global engineering, procurement and construction industry, which can place downward pressure on our contract prices and profit margins;
- The cyclical nature of many of the markets the company serves, including our commodity-based business lines, and our vulnerability to downturns;
- Current economic conditions affecting our clients, partners, subcontractors and suppliers, which may result in decreased capital investment or expenditures, or a failure to make anticipated increased capital investment or expenditures, by the company's clients or other financial difficulties by our partners, subcontractors or suppliers;
- Client delays or defaults in making payments;
- The company's failure to receive anticipated new contract awards and the related impact on revenue, earnings, staffing levels and cost;
- Client cancellations of, or scope adjustments to, existing contracts, including our government contracts that may be terminated at any time and the related impacts on staffing levels and cost;
- A failure to obtain favorable results in existing or future litigation or dispute resolution proceedings;
- Changes in global business, economic (including currency risk), political and social conditions;
- Civil unrest, security issues, labor conditions and other unforeseeable events in the countries in which we do business, resulting in unanticipated losses;
- Failure to meet timely completion or performance standards that could result in higher cost and reduced profits or, in some cases, losses on projects;
- Failure of our suppliers, subcontractors or joint venture partners to provide supplies or services at the agreed-upon levels or times;
- Repercussions of events beyond our control, such as severe weather conditions, that may significantly affect operations, result in higher cost or subject the company to liability claims by our clients;
- Liabilities arising from faulty engineering services;
- The potential impact of certain tax matters including, but not limited to, those from foreign operations and the ongoing audits by tax authorities;
- The impact of anti-bribery and international trade laws and regulations;
- The risks associated with acquisitions, dispositions or other investments;
- Possible systems and information technology interruptions or the failure to adequately protect intellectual property rights;
- The availability of credit and restrictions imposed by credit facilities, both for the company and our clients, suppliers, subcontractors or other partners;
- Failure to maintain safe work sites;

- The impact of past and future environmental, health and safety regulations;
- Possible limitations of bonding or letter of credit capacity;
- The company's ability to secure appropriate insurance;
- Limitations on cash transfers from subsidiaries that may restrict the company's ability to satisfy financial obligations or to pay interest or principal when due on outstanding debt; and
- Restrictions on possible transactions imposed by our charter documents and Delaware law.

[Table of Contents](#)

Any forward-looking statements that we may make are based on our current expectations and beliefs concerning future developments and their potential effects on us. There can be no assurance that future developments affecting us will be those anticipated by us. Any forward-looking statements are subject to the risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual results of operations, financial condition, cost reductions, acquisitions, dispositions, financing transactions, operations, expansion, consolidation and other events to differ materially from those expressed or implied in such forward-looking statements.

Due to known and unknown risks, the company's actual results may differ materially from its expectations or projections. While most risks affect only future cost or revenue anticipated by the company, some risks may relate to accruals that have already been reflected in earnings. The company's failure to receive payments of accrued amounts or incurrence of liabilities in excess of amounts previously recognized could result in a charge against future earnings. As a result, the reader is cautioned to recognize and consider the inherently uncertain nature of forward-looking statements and not to place undue reliance on them.

Additional information concerning these and other factors can be found in the company's press releases and periodic filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the discussion under the heading "Item 1A. — Risk Factors" in the company's Form 10-K filed February 22, 2012. These filings are available publicly on the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov>, on the company's website at <http://investor.fluor.com> or upon request from the company's Investor Relations Department at (469) 398-7220. The company cannot control such risk factors and other uncertainties, and in many cases, cannot predict the risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated by the forward-looking statements. These risks and uncertainties should be considered when evaluating the company and deciding whether to invest in its securities. Except as otherwise required by law, the company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise its forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Summary

Consolidated revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2012 increased 18 percent to \$7.1 billion, from \$6.0 billion for the three months ended September 30, 2011. Consolidated revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 increased 20 percent to \$20.6 billion, from \$17.1 billion for the corresponding period in the prior year. The revenue increases in the current year periods were principally due to substantial growth in the mining and metals business line of the Industrial & Infrastructure segment, as well as growth in the Oil & Gas segment.

Net earnings attributable to Fluor Corporation were \$145 million, or \$0.86 per diluted share, and \$461 million, or \$2.72 per diluted share, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to net earnings attributable to Fluor Corporation of \$135 million, or \$0.78 per diluted share, and \$441 million, or \$2.51 per diluted share, for the corresponding periods of 2011. In the 2012 periods, there was improved performance in the Industrial & Infrastructure, Oil & Gas and Global Services segments, offset somewhat by lower earnings in the Power and Government segments.

The uncertain economic conditions in Europe and other markets have resulted in a highly competitive business environment that has continued to put increased pressure on margins. This competitive environment is expected to continue and, in certain cases, may result in more lump-sum project execution for the company. In some instances, margins are being negatively impacted by the change in the mix of work performed (e.g., a higher mix of construction-related work and a higher content of customer-furnished materials, which typically generate lower margins than engineering work or projects without customer-furnished materials).

The mining and metals business line of the Industrial & Infrastructure segment has grown rapidly over the last four years, but is now showing signs of slowing down. During the third quarter of 2012, the segment experienced lower new award volume for mining projects, cancellations of two mining projects totaling \$2.0 billion, and the deferral of major capital investment decisions by some mining customers due to project cost escalation, softening commodity demand and project-specific circumstances. The timing of when capital investment by these mining customers could resume is uncertain. However, it is possible that the weakened mining market conditions could be prolonged.

The effective tax rate, based on the company's operating results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, was 34.8 percent and 31.7 percent, respectively, compared to 30.0 percent and 31.7 percent for the corresponding periods of 2011. The effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2012 was unfavorably impacted by the payment of additional foreign taxes from the settlement of an audit and a reassessment of certain tax exposures. The same period in 2011 was favorably impacted by a worthless stock deduction. The effective tax rate was similar for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the corresponding period of 2011. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was favorably impacted by the recognition of a deferred tax benefit of \$16 million primarily attributable to foreign taxes previously

[Table of Contents](#)

paid on certain unremitted foreign earnings in South Africa; the same period in 2011 was favorably impacted by the worthless stock deduction mentioned above.

Consolidated new awards were \$6.3 billion and \$22.0 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to new awards of \$6.7 billion and \$22.6 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. The Government, Oil & Gas and Industrial & Infrastructure segments were the major contributors to the new award activity in the current year periods. Approximately 73 percent of consolidated new awards for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 were for projects located outside of the United States compared to 87 percent for the first nine months of 2011.

Consolidated backlog as of September 30, 2012 decreased two percent to \$40.8 billion from \$41.8 billion as of September 30, 2011. As of September 30, 2012, approximately 75 percent of consolidated backlog related to projects located outside the United States compared to 80 percent as of September 30, 2011. Although backlog reflects business which is considered to be firm, cancellations or scope adjustments may occur. Backlog is adjusted to reflect any known project cancellations, revisions to project scope and cost, and deferrals, as appropriate.

Oil & Gas

Revenue and segment profit for the Oil & Gas segment are summarized as follows:

(in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Revenue	\$ 2,551.6	\$ 2,217.9	\$ 6,887.4	\$ 5,852.1
Segment profit	87.2	73.7	244.7	204.2

Revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 increased 15 percent and 18 percent, respectively, compared to the corresponding periods in 2011. Segment profit for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 increased 18 percent and 20 percent, respectively, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. The increases in revenue and segment profit were the result of higher project execution activities for several projects in the segment, including a coal bed methane gas project in Australia, a grassroots petrochemical complex in the Middle East and a major mine replacement project in Canada. Segment profit margin for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 was 3.4 percent and 3.6 percent, respectively, compared to 3.3 percent and 3.5 percent, respectively, for the same periods in the prior year.

New awards for the three months ended September 30, 2012 were \$2.0 billion, compared to \$1.6 billion for the corresponding period of 2011. Current quarter awards included a petrochemical facility project in the United States. Backlog as of September 30, 2012 increased 31 percent to \$19.1 billion compared to \$14.6 billion as of September 30, 2011, primarily driven by strong new award activity in the first half of this year. Although market conditions remain very competitive, the increase in backlog reflects the improvement in the segment's markets, particularly the increasing worldwide demand for new capacity in oil and gas production, refining and petrochemicals.

Total assets in the segment increased to \$1.6 billion at September 30, 2012 from \$1.2 billion as of December 31, 2011 due to an increase in working capital related to the growth in project execution activities.

Industrial & Infrastructure

Revenue and segment profit for the Industrial & Infrastructure segment are summarized as follows:

(in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Revenue	\$ 3,201.6	\$ 2,404.0	\$ 9,352.2	\$ 6,992.8
Segment profit	132.3	67.5	356.2	268.5

Revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 increased 33 percent and 34 percent, respectively, compared to the corresponding periods of 2011, due to substantial growth in the mining and metals business line. Segment profit also increased significantly for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 primarily as the result of increased project contributions associated with the revenue increase for the current year, as well as the impact of charges taken in the prior year periods for the Greater Gabbard Offshore Wind Farm ("Greater

[Table of Contents](#)

Gabbard") Project, a \$1.8 billion lump-sum project to provide engineering, procurement and construction services for the client's offshore wind farm project in the United Kingdom. Segment profit for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 was negatively affected by \$38 million and \$52 million, respectively, for these project charges, due to additional costs associated with project execution activities.

Segment profit margin for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 was 4.1 percent and 3.8 percent, respectively, compared to 2.8 percent and 3.8 percent, respectively, for the same periods in the prior year. Both of the 2011 comparison periods were negatively impacted by the Greater Gabbard Project charges, noted above. Additionally, in both of the current year periods, the segment had a higher mix of construction revenue than in the 2011 periods. Construction activities typically generate lower margins than engineering services due to the higher content of customer-furnished materials.

The company is involved in a dispute in connection with the Greater Gabbard Project. The dispute relates to the company's claim for additional compensation for schedule and cost impacts arising from delays in the fabrication of monopiles and transition pieces, along with certain disruption and productivity issues associated with construction activities and weather-related delays. The company believes the schedule and cost impacts are attributable to the client and other third parties. As of September 30, 2012, the company had recorded \$278 million of claim revenue related to this issue for costs incurred to date. The company believes the ultimate recovery of incurred costs related to the claim is probable under ASC 605-35-25. The company will continue to periodically evaluate its position and the amount recognized in revenue with respect to this claim. The project is substantially complete. As of September 30, 2012, the client had withheld the contractual maximum for liquidated damages related to the dispute of approximately \$150 million. The company is seeking to recover in arbitration all damages resulting from the client's breaches of the contract for the project, including the claim amount and a significant portion of the liquidated damages. Hearings in the arbitration on the company's claims have been completed, and the parties are awaiting the decision of the arbitrators. The client has filed a counterclaim against the company seeking to recover costs associated with alleged defects. The counterclaim is currently scheduled for hearing in 2013. To the extent the company is not successful in recovering its damages or the client's counterclaim is successful, there could be a substantial charge to earnings.

New awards for the three months ended September 30, 2012 were \$1.7 billion compared to \$2.8 billion for the 2011 comparison period. New awards for the current quarter were spread across all business lines and included a managed toll lane project in Virginia and a blood fractionation project in Georgia. New awards for the 2011 quarter included the infrastructure and processing facilities for a major copper project in Peru. Backlog declined to \$16.2 billion as of September 30, 2012 compared to \$22.3 billion as of September 30, 2011 as a result of lower new award volume in the mining and metals business line, cancellations of two mining projects totaling \$2.0 billion, and the deferral of major capital investment decisions by some mining customers due to project cost escalation, softening commodity demand and project-specific circumstances. The timing of when capital investment by these mining customers could resume is uncertain. However, it is possible that the weakened mining market conditions could be prolonged.

Total assets in the segment increased to \$1.1 billion as of September 30, 2012 from \$944 million as of December 31, 2011 due to an increase in working capital to support the project execution activities in the mining and metals business line.

Government

Revenue and segment profit for the Government segment are summarized as follows:

(in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Revenue	\$ 790.1	\$ 882.4	\$ 2,511.5	\$ 2,548.9
Segment profit	22.9	43.0	98.1	108.8

Revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2012 decreased 10 percent compared to the same period in the prior year, principally due to a reduction in the volume of work on the Logistics Civil Augmentation Program ("LOGCAP IV") for the United States Army in Afghanistan. In addition, a decline in revenue for the close-out of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act funded work at the Savannah River Site Management and Operating Project (the "Savannah River Project") in South Carolina was offset by growth in project execution activities associated with the gaseous diffusion plant contract for the Department of Energy in Portsmouth, Ohio (the "Portsmouth Project") that was awarded in the first quarter of 2011. Revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 declined slightly compared to the prior year period.

[Table of Contents](#)

Segment profit for the three months ended September 30, 2012 decreased 47 percent compared to the corresponding 2011 period, due to lower award fee on LOGCAP IV. Segment profit for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 declined 10 percent compared to the first nine months of the prior year as the result of charges totaling \$13 million related to an adverse judgment in the first quarter of 2012 associated with the company's claim on an embassy project, which is discussed further in Note 13 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Segment profit margin for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 was 2.9 percent and 3.9 percent, respectively, compared to 4.9 percent and 4.3 percent for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. The lower segment profit margins in the 2012 periods were primarily due to the factors discussed above that impacted revenue and segment profit.

New awards for the three months ended September 30, 2012 were \$2.0 billion compared to \$1.7 billion for the corresponding 2011 period. This increase was primarily due to higher funding for LOGCAP IV task orders. New awards in both periods included the annual funding of the Department of Energy contracts for the Savannah River Project and the Portsmouth Project. Backlog as of September 30, 2012 was \$1.6 billion compared to \$1.8 billion as of September 30, 2011.

Total assets in the Government segment were \$814 million as of September 30, 2012 and \$800 million as of December 31, 2011.

Global Services

Revenue and segment profit for the Global Services segment are summarized as follows:

(in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Revenue	\$ 422.9	\$ 389.9	\$ 1,252.8	\$ 1,174.5
Segment profit	41.9	39.1	133.8	111.4

Revenue increased eight percent for the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011, primarily due to an increase in the operations and maintenance business line's international project execution activities. Revenue increased seven percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the corresponding period in the prior year, principally due to the equipment business line's increased volume of activity in Peru, Mexico and Canada. The temporary staffing and operations and maintenance business lines also contributed to the year-to-date revenue increase.

Segment profit for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 increased seven percent and 20 percent, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2011, primarily due to contributions from the operations and maintenance and temporary staffing business lines. The operations and maintenance business line experienced higher contributions from various domestic and international projects. The temporary staffing business line contributed to the increase in segment profit due to improvement from North American and European operations. Segment profit margin was 9.9 percent in the current quarter compared to 10.0 percent for the same quarter in 2011. Segment profit margin for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was 10.7 percent compared to 9.5 percent for the same period in 2011 due to improvement in margins from the operations and maintenance business line.

New awards for the three months ended September 30, 2012 were \$165 million compared to \$302 million for the corresponding period in 2011. Backlog as of September 30, 2012 was \$1.8 billion compared to \$2.0 billion as of September 30, 2011. Operations and maintenance activities that have yet to be performed comprise Global Services backlog. Short-duration operations and maintenance activities may not contribute to ending backlog. In addition, the equipment, temporary staffing and supply chain solutions business lines do not report backlog or new awards.

Total assets in the Global Services segment were \$940 million as of September 30, 2012 and \$937 million as of December 31, 2011.

[Table of Contents](#)

Power

Revenue and segment profit (loss) for the Power segment are summarized as follows:

(in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Revenue	\$ 169.9	\$ 143.4	\$ 550.5	\$ 561.0
Segment profit (loss)	(6.0)	12.6	(14.5)	71.8

Revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2012 was 18 percent higher compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011. The revenue increase was primarily attributable to projects awarded in the latter part of 2011, including a new gas-fired power plant project in Texas and a new solar power project in Arizona. The prior year quarter included project execution activities for several projects which have since been completed, including gas-fired power plants in Texas, Virginia and Georgia. Revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was comparable to revenue for the first nine months of the prior year.

Segment profit and segment profit margin for the three months ended September 30, 2012 declined significantly compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011, primarily due to expenses associated with the company's continued investment in NuScale, a small modular nuclear reactor technology company, in which the company acquired a majority interest in late 2011. In addition, the prior year period benefitted from contributions of projects that have since been completed, including the gas-fired power plants in Texas and Virginia. Segment profit and segment profit margin for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 declined significantly compared to the comparable period of 2011, primarily due to reduced contributions from several completed projects, including the gas-fired power plants in Texas and Virginia, and expenses associated with NuScale. The NuScale expenses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 were \$15.7 million and \$40.6 million, respectively. The operations of NuScale are primarily for research and development activities. Although part of the Power segment, these activities could provide future benefits to both commercial and government clients.

The Power segment continues to be impacted by relatively weak demand for new power generation. Market segments that are best suited to yield new near-term opportunities include gas-fired combined cycle generation, renewable energy, regional transmission feasibility additions and air emissions compliance projects for existing coal-fired power plants. New awards for the three months ended September 30, 2012 were \$581 million compared to \$470 million in the third quarter of 2011. Backlog increased to \$2.1 billion as of September 30, 2012 from \$1.1 billion as of September 30, 2011, primarily due to the current quarter award of a new solar power project in California and the new award activity in the latter part of 2011 discussed

above.

Total assets in the Power segment were \$118 million as of September 30, 2012 and \$191 million as of December 31, 2011. This change was due to a decrease in project working capital.

Other

Corporate general and administrative expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 was \$40.9 million and \$109.9 million compared to \$37.3 million and \$102.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. The increases in the current year periods were primarily the result of higher stock-based compensation expense. Both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and the corresponding periods of the prior year were adversely affected by foreign currency losses.

Net interest expense was \$0.9 million during the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to net interest income of \$6.1 million during the corresponding period of 2011. Net interest income was \$2.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to net interest income of \$16.2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The decrease in net interest income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in the prior year was primarily due to interest expense on the \$500 million of 3.375% Senior Notes that were issued in September 2011.

Income tax expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 is discussed above under “Results of Operations — Summary.”

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

See Note 2 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

LITIGATION AND MATTERS IN DISPUTE RESOLUTION

See Note 13 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

LIQUIDITY AND FINANCIAL CONDITION

Liquidity is provided by available cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities, cash generated from operations, credit facilities and access to financial markets. The company has committed and uncommitted lines of credit totaling \$3.8 billion, which may be used for revolving loans, letters of credit and/or general purposes. The company believes that for at least the next 12 months, cash generated from operations, along with its unused credit capacity of \$2.7 billion and substantial cash position, is sufficient to support operating requirements. However, the company regularly reviews its sources and uses of liquidity and may pursue opportunities to increase its liquidity positions. The company’s conservative financial strategy and consistent performance have earned it strong credit ratings, resulting in continued access to the capital markets. As of September 30, 2012, the company was in compliance with all its covenants related to its debt agreements. The company’s total debt to total capitalization (“debt-to-capital”) ratio as of September 30, 2012 was 13.0 percent compared to 13.6 percent as of December 31, 2011.

Cash Flows

Cash and cash equivalents were \$2.2 billion as of both September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Cash and cash equivalents combined with current and noncurrent marketable securities were \$2.8 billion as of both September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Cash and cash equivalents are held in numerous accounts throughout the world to fund the company’s global project execution activities. As of both September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, cash and cash equivalents held outside the United States amounted to \$1.5 billion. The company did not consider any cash to be permanently reinvested overseas as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 and, as a result, has accrued the U.S. deferred tax liability on foreign earnings, as appropriate.

Operating Activities

Cash flows from operating activities result primarily from earnings sources and are impacted by changes in operating assets and liabilities which consist primarily of working capital balances. Working capital levels vary from period to period and are primarily affected by the company’s volume of work. These levels are also impacted by the mix, stage of completion and commercial terms of engineering and construction projects, as well as the company’s execution of its projects within budget. Project working capital requirements also vary by project. For example, accounts receivable and contract work in progress relate to clients in various industries and locations throughout the world. Most contracts require payments as the projects progress. The company evaluates the counterparty credit risk of third parties as part of its project risk review process and in determining the appropriate level of reserves. The company maintains adequate reserves for potential credit losses and generally such losses have been minimal and within management’s estimates. In the current economic environment, it is more likely that such credit losses could occur and impact working capital requirements. Additionally, certain projects receive advance payments from clients. A normal trend for these projects is to have higher cash balances during the initial phases of execution which then level out toward the end of the construction phase. As a result, the company’s cash position is reduced as customer advances are worked off, unless they are replaced by advances on other projects. The company maintains cash reserves and borrowing facilities to satisfy any net operating cash outflows in the event there is an investment in operating assets that exceeds the projects’ available cash balances.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, working capital increased primarily due to an increase in contract work in progress in the Oil & Gas and Industrial & Infrastructure segments and a decrease in advance billings in the Oil & Gas segment, partially offset by an increase in accounts payable in the Oil & Gas segment. The higher contract work in progress balance resulted from normal project execution activities and is expected to be billed and collected from clients. The decrease in advance billings was also the result of normal project execution activities for several projects. The higher accounts payable balance was the result of normal invoicing and payment activities associated with numerous projects. Working capital levels at September 30, 2011 remained consistent with levels as of December 31, 2010.

Cash provided by operating activities was \$510 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$711 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The period-over-period decrease in cash flows from operating activities was primarily attributable to a significant increase in working capital for normal project execution activities associated with numerous projects during the first nine months of 2012 compared to a relatively small change in working capital during the

[Table of Contents](#)

same period of 2011. The largest contributor to this was fluctuations in advance billings between the periods, primarily driven by progress toward completion on a coal bed methane gas project in Australia.

During the nine months ended September 2012 and 2011, the company had net cash outlays of \$166 million and \$297 million, respectively, to fund the project execution activities for the Greater Gabbard Project. Any significant recovery of our claim in the Greater Gabbard matter (see Note 13 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and “Results of Operations — Industrial & Infrastructure”) would result in additional liquidity.

The company contributed approximately \$7 million into its defined benefit plans during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$50 million during the corresponding period of the prior year. The company expects to fund approximately \$30 million to \$60 million during 2012, which is expected to be in excess of the minimum funding required.

Investing Activities

Cash utilized by investing activities amounted to \$251 million and \$105 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The primary investing activities included purchases, sales and maturities of marketable securities, capital expenditures and disposals of property, plant and equipment.

The company holds cash in bank deposits and marketable securities which are governed by the company’s investment policy. This policy focuses on, in order of priority, the preservation of capital, maintenance of liquidity and maximization of yield. These investments include money market funds which invest in U.S. Government-related securities, bank deposits placed with highly-rated financial institutions, repurchase agreements that are fully collateralized by U.S. Government-related securities, high-grade commercial paper and high quality short-term and medium-term fixed income securities. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, purchases of marketable securities exceeded proceeds from sales and maturities of such securities by \$89 million. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, proceeds from sales and maturities of marketable securities exceeded purchases by \$95 million. The company held current and noncurrent marketable securities of \$689 million and \$600 million as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Capital expenditures of \$189 million and \$237 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, primarily related to construction equipment associated with equipment operations in the Global Services segment. Proceeds from disposal of property, plant and equipment totaled \$66 million and \$44 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Financing Activities

Cash utilized by financing activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 of \$289 million and \$299 million, respectively, included company stock repurchases, company dividend payments to stockholders, convertible note repayments and distributions paid to holders of noncontrolling interests.

Cash flows from financing activities included the repurchase and cancellation of 3,223,949 shares of the company’s common stock for \$164 million during the first nine months of 2012 and 9,300,000 shares of the company’s common stock for \$600 million during the first nine months of 2011 under its stock repurchase program.

Quarterly cash dividends of \$0.16 per share were declared in the third quarter of 2012 (compared to \$0.125 per share in the third quarter of 2011) and are typically paid during the month following the quarter in which they are declared. The payment and level of future cash dividends is subject to the discretion of the company’s Board of Directors. Dividends of \$76 million were paid during the first nine months of 2012 compared to \$66 million during the corresponding period in the prior year.

In September 2011, the company issued \$500 million of 3.375% Senior Notes (the “2011 Notes”) due September 15, 2021 and received proceeds of \$492 million, net of underwriting discounts and debt issuance costs. Interest on the 2011 Notes is payable semi-annually on March 15 and September 15 of each year, and began on March 15, 2012. The company may, at any time, redeem the 2011 Notes at a redemption price equal to 100 percent of the principal amount, plus a “make whole” premium described in the indenture. Additionally, if a change of control triggering event occurs, as defined by the terms of the indenture, the company will be required to offer to purchase the 2011 Notes at a purchase price equal to 101 percent of

their principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the date of purchase. The company is generally not limited under the indenture governing the 2011 Notes in its ability to incur additional indebtedness provided the company is in compliance with certain restrictive covenants, including restrictions on liens and restrictions on sale and leaseback transactions. These covenants are not expected to impact the company's liquidity or capital resources.

In February 2004, the company issued \$330 million of 1.5% Convertible Senior Notes (the "2004 Notes") due February 15, 2024 and received proceeds of \$323 million, net of underwriting discounts. Proceeds from the 2004 Notes were used to pay off

[Table of Contents](#)

the then-outstanding commercial paper and \$100 million was used to obtain ownership of engineering and corporate office facilities in California through payoff of the lease financing. In December 2004, the company irrevocably elected to pay the principal amount of the 2004 Notes in cash. The 2004 Notes are convertible if a specified trading price of the company's common stock (the "trigger price") is achieved and maintained for a specified period. The trigger price condition was satisfied during the fourth quarter of 2011 and third quarter of 2012 and the 2004 Notes were therefore classified as short-term debt. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, holders converted \$0.9 million of the 2004 Notes in exchange for the principal balance owed in cash plus 17,352 shares of the company's common stock. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, holders converted \$73 million of the 2004 Notes in exchange for the principal balance owed in cash plus 1,601,081 shares of the company's common stock. The company does not know the timing or principal amount of the remaining 2004 Notes that may be presented for conversion by the holders in the future. Additionally, the 2004 Notes are currently redeemable at the option of the company, in whole or in part, at 100 percent of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest. Available cash balances will be used to satisfy any principal and interest payments. Shares of the company stock will be issued to satisfy any appreciation between the conversion price and the market price on the date of conversion.

Capital contributions received from joint venture partners were \$4 million and \$23 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Capital contributions in the first nine months of 2011 represent the funding of a joint venture that is providing services to the Department of Energy under a contract for a gaseous diffusion plant in Portsmouth, Ohio. Distributions paid to holders of noncontrolling interests were \$62 million and \$72 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Distributions in both years primarily related to an iron ore joint venture project in Australia. See Note 14 to the annual report on Form 10-K for further discussion of this project.

Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash

Unrealized translation gains and losses resulting from changes in functional currency exchange rates are reflected in the cumulative translation component of other comprehensive loss. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, most major foreign currencies strengthened against the U.S. dollar. As a result, the company had unrealized translation gains of \$20 million in 2012 related to cash held by foreign subsidiaries. The cash held in foreign currencies will primarily be used for project-related expenditures in those currencies, and therefore the company's exposure to realized exchange gains and losses is generally mitigated.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Guarantees and Commitments

On December 14, 2010, the company entered into a \$1.2 billion Revolving Performance Letter of Credit Facility Agreement ("Letter of Credit Facility") that matures in 2015 and an \$800 million Revolving Loan and Financial Letter of Credit Facility Agreement ("Revolving Credit Facility") that matures in 2013. Borrowings on the \$800 million Revolving Credit Facility are to bear interest at rates based on the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") or an alternative base rate, plus an applicable borrowing margin. The Letter of Credit Facility may be increased up to an additional \$500 million subject to certain conditions.

As of September 30, 2012, the company had a combination of committed and uncommitted lines of credit that totaled \$3.8 billion. These lines may be used for revolving loans, letters of credit and/or general purposes. The committed lines consist of the two facilities discussed above, as well as a \$500 million letter of credit facility that matures in 2014. Letters of credit are provided in the ordinary course of business primarily to indemnify our clients if we fail to perform our obligations under our contracts. As of September 30, 2012, \$1.1 billion in letters of credit were outstanding under these committed and uncommitted lines of credit. As an alternative to letters of credit, surety bonds are also used as a form of credit enhancement.

In the ordinary course of business, the company enters into various agreements providing performance assurances and guarantees to clients on behalf of certain consolidated and unconsolidated partnerships, joint ventures and other jointly executed contracts. These agreements are entered into primarily to support the project execution commitments of these entities. The performance guarantees have various expiration dates ranging from mechanical completion of the facilities being constructed to a period extending beyond contract completion in certain circumstances. The maximum potential payment amount of an outstanding performance guarantee is the remaining cost of work to be performed by or on behalf of third parties under engineering and construction contracts. Amounts that may be required to be paid in excess of estimated cost to complete contracts in progress are not estimable. For cost reimbursable contracts, amounts that may become payable pursuant to guarantee provisions are normally recoverable from the client for work performed under the contract. For lump-sum or fixed-price contracts, the performance guarantee amount is the cost to complete the contracted work less amounts remaining to be billed to the client under the contract. Remaining billable amounts could be greater or less than the cost to complete. In those cases where costs exceed the remaining amounts payable under the contract, the company may have recourse to third parties,

[Table of Contents](#)

such as owners, co-venturers, subcontractors or vendors for claims. Performance guarantees outstanding as of September 30, 2012 were estimated to be \$4.3 billion of which an immaterial amount was recorded as a liability in accordance with ASC 460, “Guarantor’s Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others”.

Financial guarantees, made in the ordinary course of business in certain limited circumstances, are entered into with financial institutions and other credit grantors and generally obligate the company to make payment in the event of a default by the borrower. These arrangements generally require the borrower to pledge collateral to support the fulfillment of the borrower’s obligation.

Variable Interest Entities

In the normal course of business, the company forms partnerships or joint ventures primarily for the execution of single contracts or projects. The company evaluates each partnership and joint venture to determine whether the entity is a variable interest entity (“VIE”). If the entity is determined to be a VIE, the company assesses whether it is the primary beneficiary and needs to consolidate the entity.

For further discussion of the company’s VIEs, see Note 15 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

There have been no material changes to market risk in the first nine months of 2012. Accordingly, the disclosures provided in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 remain current.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Based on their evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this report, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act) are effective, based upon an evaluation of those controls and procedures required by paragraph (b) of Rule 13a-15 or Rule 15d-15 of the Exchange Act.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes to our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

[Table of Contents](#)

**FLUOR CORPORATION
CHANGES IN CONSOLIDATED BACKLOG**

UNAUDITED

(in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011
Backlog – beginning of period	\$ 43,001.5	\$ 40,283.4
New awards	6,317.2	6,747.3
Adjustments and cancellations, net	(1,495.9)	685.8
Work performed	(6,976.6)	(5,883.5)
Backlog – end of period	\$ 40,846.2	\$ 41,833.0

(in millions)	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011
Backlog – beginning of period	\$ 39,483.7	\$ 34,908.7
New awards	22,013.2	22,630.9
Adjustments and cancellations, net	(596.4)	995.2
Work performed	(20,054.3)	(16,701.8)
Backlog – end of period	\$ 40,846.2	\$ 41,833.0

PART II: OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Fluor and its subsidiaries, as part of their normal business activities, are parties to a number of legal proceedings and other matters in various stages of development. While we cannot predict the outcome of these proceedings, in our opinion and based on reports of counsel, any liability arising from these matters individually and in the aggregate will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position or the results of operations of the company, after giving effect to provisions already recorded.

For information on matters in dispute, see Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 22, 2012, and Note 13 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements under Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes from our risk factors as disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

- (c) The following table provides information about purchases by the company during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 of equity securities that are registered by the company pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased ⁽¹⁾	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased under the Plans or Program ⁽²⁾
July 1, 2012 – July 31, 2012	605	\$ 49.34	—	8,626,951
August 1, 2012 – August 31, 2012	90	52.20	—	8,626,951
September 1, 2012 – September 30, 2012	601,712	52.09	600,900	8,026,051
Total	602,407	\$ 52.09	600,900	

- (1) Includes 1,507 shares cancelled as payment for statutory withholding taxes upon the vesting of restricted stock issued pursuant to equity based employee benefit plans and 600,900 shares of company stock repurchased and cancelled by the company during September 2012 under its stock repurchase program for total consideration of \$31,308,306.

- (2) On November 3, 2011, the company announced that the Board of Directors had approved the repurchase of up to 12,000,000 shares of our common stock. This repurchase program is ongoing and does not have an expiration date.

Item 6. Exhibits

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit	Description
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 8, 2012).
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 8, 2012).
4.1	Indenture between Fluor Corporation and Bank of New York, as trustee, dated as of February 17, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 17, 2004).

- 4.2 First Supplemental Indenture between Fluor Corporation and The Bank of New York, as trustee, dated as of February 17, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 17, 2004).
- 4.3 Senior Debt Securities Indenture between Fluor Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, dated as of September 8, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 8, 2011).
- 4.4 First Supplemental Indenture between Fluor Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, dated as of September 13, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 13, 2011).
- 4.5 Second Supplemental Indenture between Fluor Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, dated as of June 22, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the registrant's Form S-3ASR filed on June 22, 2012).
- 10.1 Distribution Agreement between the registrant and Fluor Corporation (renamed Massey Energy Company) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 7, 2000).
- 10.2 Fluor Corporation 2000 Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors, as amended and restated effective January 1, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 20, 2010).
- 10.3 Fluor Corporation Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective April 21, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 29, 2008).
- 10.4 Fluor Corporation Deferred Directors' Fees Program, as amended and restated effective January 1, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 31, 2003).
- 10.5 Directors' Life Insurance Summary (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the registrant's Registration Statement on Form 10/A (Amendment No. 1) filed on November 22, 2000).
- 10.6 Fluor Executives' Supplemental Benefit Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 29, 2008).
- 10.7 Executive Severance Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 22, 2012).
- 10.8 Fluor Corporation 2001 Fluor Stock Appreciation Rights Plan, as amended and restated on November 1, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 29, 2008).
- 10.9 Fluor Corporation 2003 Executive Performance Incentive Plan, as amended and restated as of March 30, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 5, 2005).
- 10.10 Form of Compensation Award Agreements for grants under the Fluor Corporation 2003 Executive Performance Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on November 9, 2004).
- 10.11 Offer of Employment Letter dated May 7, 2001 from Fluor Corporation to D. Michael Steuert (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 15, 2004).
- 10.12 Summary of Fluor Corporation Non-Management Director Compensation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 2, 2012).
- 10.13 Fluor Corporation 409A Deferred Directors' Fees Program, effective as of January 1, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 21, 2007).

[Table of Contents](#)

- 10.14 Fluor 409A Executive Deferred Compensation Program, as amended and restated effective January 1, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 22, 2012).
- 10.15 Fluor Corporation 2008 Executive Performance Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 9, 2008).
- 10.16 Form of Indemnification Agreement entered into between the registrant and each of its directors and executive officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 25, 2009).
- 10.17 Retention Award granted to Stephen B. Dobbs on February 7, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 25, 2009).

- 10.18 Retention Award granted to David T. Seaton on February 7, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 25, 2009).
- 10.19 Form of Value Driver Incentive Award Agreement under the Fluor Corporation 2008 Executive Performance Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 11, 2009).
- 10.20 Form of Stock Option Agreement under the Fluor Corporation 2008 Executive Performance Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 11, 2009).
- 10.21 Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under the Fluor Corporation 2008 Executive Performance Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 11, 2009).
- 10.22 Form of Non-U.S. Stock Growth Incentive Award Agreement under the Fluor Corporation 2008 Executive Performance Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 11, 2009).
- 10.23 Form of Stock Option Agreement (with double trigger change of control) under the Fluor Corporation 2008 Executive Performance Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 10, 2010).
- 10.24 Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (with double trigger change of control) under the Fluor Corporation 2008 Executive Performance Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 10, 2010).
- 10.25 Form of Non-U.S. Stock Growth Incentive Award Agreement (with double trigger change of control) under the Fluor Corporation 2008 Executive Performance Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 10, 2010).
- 10.26 Form of Restricted Unit Award Agreement under the Fluor Corporation 2000 Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 4, 2011).
- 10.27 Form of Restricted Stock Agreement under the Fluor Corporation 2000 Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 4, 2011).
- 10.28 Form of Change in Control Agreement entered into between the registrant and each of its executive officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 29, 2010).
- 10.29 Letter of Credit Facility Agreement, dated September 16, 2009, among Fluor Corporation, BNP Paribas, as Administrative Agent and an Issuing Lender, and the lenders party thereto (including schedules and exhibits thereto) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on July 27, 2010).
- 10.30 Revolving Loan and Financial Letter of Credit Facility Agreement dated as of December 14, 2010, among Fluor Corporation, the Lenders thereunder, Bank of America, N.A., in its capacity as Administrative Agent and an Issuing Lender, BNP Paribas, in its capacity as Co-Syndication Agent and an Issuing Lender, Citibank, N.A. and Intesa Sanpaolo S.p.A., as Co-Syndication Agents, and ING Bank N.V., Dublin Branch, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and Lloyds TSB, as Co-Documentation Agents (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 23, 2011).
- 10.31 Revolving Performance Letter of Credit Facility Agreement dated as of December 14, 2010, among Fluor Corporation, the Lenders thereunder, BNP Paribas, as Administrative Agent and an Issuing Lender, Bank of America, N.A., as Co-Syndication Agent and an Issuing Lender, The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd. and The Bank of Nova Scotia, as Co-Syndication Agents and Banco Santander, S.A., New York Branch and Cr dit Agricole Corporate and Investment Bank, as Co-Documentation Agents (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 23, 2011).

[Table of Contents](#)

- 10.32 Retention Award granted to D. Michael Steuert on August 4, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 23, 2011).
- 10.33 Form of Value Driver Incentive Award Agreement (payable in shares) under the Fluor Corporation 2008 Executive Performance Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 3, 2012).
- 10.34 Form of Option Agreement (with international grant language) under the Fluor Corporation 2008 Executive Performance Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 5, 2011).
- 10.35 Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (with international grant language) under the Fluor Corporation 2008 Executive Performance Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.39 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 5, 2011).

10.36	Form of Non-U.S. Stock Growth Incentive Award Agreement under the Fluor Corporation 2008 Executive Performance Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.40 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 5, 2011).
10.37	Offer of Employment Letter dated January 9, 2009 from Fluor Corporation to Bruce A. Stanski (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.39 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 22, 2012).
10.38	Offer of Employment Letter from Fluor Corporation to Biggs C. Porter (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 3, 2012).
10.39	Consulting Agreement between Fluor Corporation and D. Michael Steuert, dated May 11, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.39 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 2, 2012).
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Fluor Corporation.*
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Fluor Corporation.*
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.*
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.*
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.*
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.*
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.*
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.*
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.*
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.*

* New exhibit filed with this report.

Attached as Exhibit 101 to this report are the following documents formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Earnings for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, and (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

[Table of Contents](#)

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

FLUOR CORPORATION

Date: November 1, 2012

/s/ Biggs C. Porter
 Biggs C. Porter
 Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Date: November 1, 2012

/s/ Gary G. Smalley
 Gary G. Smalley
 Senior Vice President and Controller

**CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-14(a) OR RULE 15d-14(a)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

I, David T. Seaton, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Fluor Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 1, 2012

By: /s/ David T. Seaton

David T. Seaton

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

**CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-14(a) OR RULE 15d-14(a)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

I, Biggs C. Porter, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Fluor Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 1, 2012

By: /s/ Biggs C. Porter
Biggs C. Porter,
*Senior Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer*

**CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-14(b) OR RULE 15d-14(b)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
AND 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350**

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Fluor Corporation (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2012, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, David T. Seaton, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, for purposes of 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to my knowledge:

- the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: November 1, 2012

By: /s/ David T. Seaton

David T. Seaton,
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

**CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-14(b) OR RULE 15d-14(b)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
AND 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350**

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Fluor Corporation (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2012, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Biggs C. Porter, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, for purposes of 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to my knowledge:

- the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: November 1, 2012

By: /s/ Biggs C. Porter
Biggs C. Porter,
Senior Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.
